

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,479	12/10/2003	Tomohiro Inoue	P69362US0	5418
136	7590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	
SUITE 600	H SIREEI N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20004		1745	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			8			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,479	INOUE, TOMOHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tony Chuo	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ıne 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r cicolon requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2003 is/a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1745

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1 and 2 are currently pending in this application. The objection to the specification is withdrawn and the amended abstract is accepted. Claim 1 does not overcome the previously stated 102 rejection. Therefore, claim 1 stands rejected under the previously stated 102 rejection. The new claim 2 is currently rejected under following 103 rejection. This action is made FINAL as necessitated by the amendment.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okazaki (US 2001/0018143). The Okazaki reference teaches a component of a fuel cell comprising a pair of separator plates "1A" & "1C" which are arranged in parallel to each other in a state of having a gap between contact surfaces thereof opposing to each other and a gasket "7a" arranged in a gasket groove "8" that is provided in both of the separator plates and adhered to both separator plates (See Figure 1). The gasket "7a" that is shown in Figure 1 is a rubber gasket that is compressed within the gasket groove in order to form the seal between the two separator plates "1A" & "1C".

Application/Control Number: 10/731,479 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okazaki (US 2001/0018143) in view of Wozniczka et al (US 2001/0019792). The Okazaki is applied to claim 1 for reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach an adhesive that is added between the gasket and at least one of the separators. The Wozniczka reference teaches adjoining separator plates that adhesively bonded together in addition to employing gasket seals (See paragraph [0027]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Okazaki fuel cell to add an adhesive between the gasket and at least one of the separators in order to improve the seal between the separator plates and further ensure that the fuel gas and oxidizing gas do not leak from the fuel cell.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the applicant's argument that the gasket and separators are not adhered to each other, the Okazaki gasket and separators are adhered or held fast to each other by the compression force acting there upon. If the applicant intends to argue that his gasket and separators are adhered to each other by

Application/Control Number: 10/731,479

Art Unit: 1745

glueing/sticking/fusing or the like, such is not recited in the instant claim 1. In response to the applicant's argument that the Okazaki gasket is not compressedly deformed, the rubber gasket disclosed by Okazaki is compressedly deformed because any rubber gasket placed inside a fuel cell with multiple layers will be compressedly deformed to some degree.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TC